FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Petitioners herein request a variance from Section 1B01.2.C.2 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.) to allow a window to tract boundary a distance of 16 ft., in lieu of the required 35 ft.; a variance from Section V.B.6.b of the Comprehensive Manual of Development Policy (CMDP) to allow a window to property line a distance of 2 ft. in lieu of the required 15 ft.; and to amend the last approved Final Development Plan of Section One, Heritage. All as more particularly described on Petitioners' Exhibit No. 1.

The Petitioners having filed a Petition for Residential Variance and the subject property having been posted, and there being no request for a public hearing, a decision shall be rendered based upon the documentation presented.

The Petitioners have filed the supporting affidavits as required by Section 26-127 (b)(1) of the Baltimore County Code. Based upon the information available, there is no evidence in the file to indicate that the requested variances would adversely affect the health, safety or general welfare of the public and should therefore be granted. In the opinion of the Zoning Commissioner, the information, photographs, and affidavits

Petition for Administrative Variance

to the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County

This Petition shall be filed with the Office of Zoning Administration & Development Management.

The undersigned, legal owner(s) of the property situate in Baltimore County and which is described in the description and plat attached

of the Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, to the Zoning Law of Baltimore County; for the following reasons: (Indicate hardship or

t, or we, agree to pay expenses of above Variance advertising, posting, etc., upon filing of this petition, and further agree to and are to be bound by the zoning regulations and restrictions of Baltimore County adopted pursuant to the Zoning Law for Baltimore County.

A Public Hearing having been requested and/or found to be required, It is ordered by the Eaning Commissioner of Baltimore County, this ____day of ____ that the subject matter of this petition be set for a public hearing, advertised, as required by the Zoning Regulations of Baltimore County, in two newspapers of general

To allow window to tract boundary a distance of 15' is lies of the required 35' and VB6b CMD? To allow a window to property line a distance of 2' in lieu of the required

ADDITIONAL LIVING SPACE REQUIRED AND NO OTHER

PRACTICAL LOCATION EXISTS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF

hereto and made a part hereof, hereby petition for a Variance from Section(s)

Property is to be posted and advertised as prescribed by Zoning Regulations.

15' and to smend the last approved FDP.

THIS ROOM.

submitted provide sufficient facts that comply with the requirements of Section 307.1 of the B.C.Z.R. Furthermore, strict compliance with the B.C.Z.R. would result in practical difficulty and/or unreasonable hardship upon the Petitioners.

This property lies within close proximity to the Chesapeake Bay and is, therefore, subject to the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area legislation found within Section 500.14 of the B.C.Z.R.

In accordance with Section 500.14 of the B.C.Z.R., the Director of the Department of Environmental Protection and Resource Management must submit recommendations which describe what steps the Petitioners must take to insure that the relief requested complies with the following Chesapeake Bay Critical Areas requirements to:

- 1) Minimize adverse impacts on water quality that result from pollutants that are discharged from structures or conveyances or that have run off from surrounding lands;
- 2) Conserve fish, wildlife, and plant habitat; and
- 3) Be consistent with established land use policies for development in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area which accommodate growth and also address the fact that, even if pollution is controlled, the number movement, and activities of persons in that area can create adverse environmental impacts.

As of the date hereof, these recommendations have not been submitted and the Department of Environmental Protection and Resource Management has requested an extension to complete their study. This extension has been approved and the granting of the variance herein shall be conditioned upon the receipt of, and compliance with, those recommendations

Pursuant to the posting of the property and the provisions of both the Baltimore County Code and the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations, and for the reasons given above, the requested variance should be granted.

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED by the Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County, this day of August, 1993, that the Petition for a Zoning Variance from Section 1B01.2.C.2 of the Baltimore County Zoning Regulations (B.C.Z.R.) to allow a window to tract boundary a distance of 16 ft., in lieu of the required 35 ft., be and is hereby GRANTED; and,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a variance from Section V.B.6.b of the Comprehensive Manual of Development Policy (CMDP) to allow a window to property line a distance of 2 ft., in lieu of the required 15 ft., and to amend the last approved Final Development Plan of Section One, Heritage, be and is hereby GRANTED, subject, however, to the following restrictions which are conditions precedent to the relief granted herein:

> 1. The Petitioners may apply for their building permit and be granted same upon receipt of this Order; however, Petitioners are hereby made aware that proceeding at this time is at their own risk until such time as the 30 day appellate process from this Order has expired. If, for whatever reason, this Order is reversed, the Petitioners would be required to return, and be responsible for returning, said property to its original condition.

2. Compliance with the Department of Environmental Protection and Resource Management recommendations to be submitted upon completion of their review of this matter.

> AWRENCE E. SCHMIDT Zoning Commissioner for Baltimore County

LES/mmn

Affidavit in support of Administrative Variance

BALTIMORE MO

The undereigned hereby affirms under the penalties of perjury to the Zoning Commissioner of Baltimore County, as follows: That the information herein given is within the personal knowledge of the Affant(s) and that Affant(s) is/are competent w testify thereto in the event that a public hearing is scheduled in the future with regard thereto. That the Affiant(s) does/do presently reside at 12548 GRACEWOOD ORIVE

That based upon personal knowledge, the following are the facts upon which I/we base the request for an Administrative I WISH TO CONSTRUCT A SINGLE STORY, FRAME-CONSTRUCTION ROOM ON AN EXISTING CONCRETE SLAB PATIO POOPER FOOTINGS ADDED. THE WEST WALL OF THIS ROOM WILL RE 16 FROM THE PROPERTY LINE. THIS WALL WILL HAVE A WINDOW IN IT. THERE IS NO OTHER WAY TO CONSTRUCT THIS ADDITIONAL LIVING SPACE DITHER THAN ITS PRESENT, PROPOSED LOCATION.

July , 19 93, before me, a Notary Public of the State

the Affiants(s) herein, personally knows or satisfactority identified to me as such Affiantt(s), and made outh in due form of law that the matters and facts hereinabove set forth are true and correct to the best of his/her/their knowledge and belief AS WITNESS my hand and Notarial Ser 7-7-91

ZONING DESCRIPTION

ZONING DESCRIPTION FOR 12548 Gracewood Drive, Election District 15, Councilmanic District 5.

Beginning at a point on the West side of Gracewood Drive, which is 50 feet wide at a distance of 170 feet South of the centerline of the nearest improved intersecting street Graces Quarters Road which is 70 feet wide. Being Lot #1, Block B, Section One in the subdivision of Heritage as recorded in Baltimore County Plat Book #E.H.K. JR 42, Folio #121, containing approximately 5,580 square feet (.128 Acres)

Baltimore County Government Zoning Commissioner Office of Planning and Zoning

Suite 113 Courthouse 400 Washington Avenue Towson, MD 21204

(410) 887-4386

August 3, 1993

Mr. and Mrs. Fred A. Diehl III 12548 Gracewood Drive Baltimore, Maryland 21220

> RE: Petition for Administrative Zoning Variance Case No. 94-9-A Property: 12548 Gracewood Drive

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Diehl:

Enclosed please find the decision rendered in the above captioned case. The Petition for an Administrative Variance has been granted, in accordance with the attached Order.

In the event the decision rendered is unfavorable to any party, please be advised that any party may file an appeal within thirty (30) days of the date of the Order to the County Board of Appeals. If you require additional information concerning filing an appeal, please feel free to contact our Appeals Clerk at 887-3391.

Zoning Commissioner

LES: mmn

Date of Posting 7/19/93 Fred II + Bott c Diehl LOCATION of property: 125 718 GYEC-WOO & DYINS, W/S, 170'5 GYEL By RO Location of Stone Tacing You dway on froferty of Pets tivner

, "	•	
	94.9-4	
Annua .		
Baltimore County Zoning Administration &	fqiese n	
Development Mar.agenient 111 West Chesupcuke Avenue	1 @C@IP8	
Ton sea, Maryland 21204	Account: R-001-6150	
Date 7/8/93	Number # 14	
	Taken by: Jof /cam	
Fred & Bath Diph 14. 1254	Althracawood Dr.	
# 101 - Adhlis Verial-li	y = # 50,00	
# 101 - palethis veriality		
# Ode Mindignif . Sin	· · 8 35.00	
	# 85. <u>90</u>	
NY P	# 00. 2	
way to		
•		
	01A01#0318MICHRC \$85.00	
Please Make Checks Payable Te: Baltimere County		
Japanes Vancacion		

REVIEWED BY: SELECTION DATE: 7/8/93

circulation. throughout Sattimore County, and that the property be reposted.

VWe do sciennily declare and affirm, under the penalties of perjury, that I/we are the

FRED A- DIEHL TO

Fral a. Day n

Beth (Dich/ 1

BALTIMORE MO 21220

12548 GRACEWOOD OR. 631-2156 WORK

BETH C. DIEHL

111 West Chesapeake Avenue Towson, MD 2120+

July 26, 1993

(410) 887-3353

Mr. and Mrs. Fred A. Diehl III 12548 Gracewood Drive Baltimore MD 21220

> RE: Item No. 14, Case No. 94-9-A Petitioner: Fred A. Diehl III, et ux Petition for Administrative Variance

Dear Mr. and Mrs. Diehl:

The Zoning Plans Advisory Committee (ZAC) has reviewed the plans submitted with the above referenced petition. The attached comments from each reviewing agency are not intended to indicate the appropriateness of the zoning action requested, but to assure that all parties, i.e. Zoning Commissioner, attorney and/or the petitioner, are made aware of plans or problems with regard to the proposed improvements that may have a bearing on this case.

Enclosed are all comments submitted thus far from the members of ZAC that offer or request information on your petition. If additional comments are received from other members of ZAC, I will forward them to you. Otherwise, any comment that is not informative will be placed in the hearing file. This petition was accepted for filing on July 8, 1993 , and a hearing scheduled accordingly.

The following comments are related only to the filing of future zoning petitions and are aimed at expediting the petition filing process with this office.

The Director of Zoning Administration and Development Management has instituted a system whereby seasoned zoning attorneys who feel that they are capable of filing petitions that comply with all aspects of the zoning regulations and petitions filing requirements can file their petitions with this office without the necessity of a preliminary review by Zoning personnel.

Zoning Plans Advisory Committe Comments Date:July 26, 1993 Page 2

> 2) Anyone using this system should be fully aware that they are responsible for the accuracy and completeness of any such petition. All petitions filed in this manner will be reviewed and commented on by Zoning personnel prior to the hearing. In the event that the peition has not been filed correctly, there is always a possibility that another hearing will be required or the Zoning Commissioner will deny the petition due to errors or imcompleteness.

Attorneys, engineers and applicants who make appointments to file petitions on a regular basis and fail to keep the appointment without a 72 hour notice will be required to submit the appropriate filing fee at the time future appointments are made. Failure to keep these appointments without proper advance notice, i.e. 72 hours, will result in the forfeiture loss of the filing fee.

Very truly yours,

Zoning Coordinator

WCR:jw

Enclosures

O. James Lighthizer Hal Kassoff

7-15-93

Re: Baltimore County Item No.: * 14 (JRF)

Towson, Maryland 21204

Dear Ms. Kehring:

111 W. Chesapeake Avenue

Ms. Helene Kehring

Zoning Administration and

Development Management

County Office Building

Room 109

This office has reviewed the referenced item and we have no objection to approval as it does not access a State roadway and is not effected by any State Highway Administration projects.

Please contact Bob Small at 410-333-1350 if you have any questions.

Thank you for the opportunity to review this item.

My telephone number is ______

Teletypewriter for impaired Hearing or Speech 383-7555 Baitimore Metro - 565-0451 D.C. Metro - 1-800-492-5062 Statewide Toil Free 707 North Calvert St., Baltimore, Maryland 21203-0717

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

Inter-Office Correspondence

Zoning Advisory Committee

DATE: July 16, 1993

SUBJECT: July 26, 1993 Meeting

Buildings shall comply with the 1991 Life Safety Code. Fire hydrant locations need to be shown.

Building shall comply with the 1991 Life Safety Code.

44-9-A Ma

94-9-A

DATE: July 28, 1993

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AND RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

Mr. Lawrence Schmidt Zoning Commissioner

Zoning Item 14

Mr. J. James Dieter SUBJECT: Petition for Zoning Setback Variance ZONING COMMISSIONER

SITE LOCATION

The subject property is located at 12548 Gracewood Drive. The site is within the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area and is classified as an Intensely Developed Area (IDA).

APPLICANT'S NAME Fred A. Diehl III and Beth C. Diehl

APPLICANT'S PROPOSAL

The applicant has requested a variance from Section 1B01.2.C2 BCZR to allow a window to tract boundary distance of 16 ft. in lieu of the required 35 ft. and VB6b CMDP to allow a window to property line distance of 2 ft. in lieu of the required 15 ft. for construction of a proposed 12 ft. x 14 ft. residential addition.

GOALS OF THE CHESAPEAKE BAY CRITICAL AREA PROGRAM

In accordance with the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area Program, all project approvals shall be based on a finding which assures that proposed projects are consistent with the following goals of the Critical Area Law:

1. "Minimize adverse impacts on water quality that result from pollutants that are discharged from structures or conveyances or that have runoff from surrounding lands;

2. Conserve fish, wildlife and plant habitat; and

3. Establish land use policies for development in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area which accommodate growth and also address the fact that even if pollution is controlled, the number, movement, and activities of persons in that area can create adverse environmental impacts." <COMAR 14.15.10.01.0>

Mr. Lawrence Schmidt July 28, 1993

REGULATIONS AND FINDINGS

Regulation: "New development and redevelopment within the IDA shall use Best Management Practices or other technology which reduces pollutant loadings by 10% of the on-site level prior to new development or redevelopment" <Baltimore County Code Section 26-452(a)>.

<u>Finding:</u> In order to comply with the above regulation, rooftop runoff shall be directed through downspouts and into drywells or seepage pits (see attached information). This will encourage maximum infiltration of stormwater and will bring this property into compliance with the 10% rule. Infiltration of stormwater is a recommended Best Management Practice in a "Framework for Evaluating Compliance with the 10% Rule in the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area."

CONCLUSION

The zoning petition shall be conditioned so the project proposal is in compliance with the Chesapeake Bay Critical Area regulations and findings listed above. Upon compliance with these regulations and findings, this project will be approved. If there are any questions, please contact Ms. Patricia Farr at 887-3980.

> James/Dieter Director Department of Environmental Protection and Resource Management

JJD:GES:tmm

Attachment

cc: Fred and Beth Diehl 12548 Gracewood Drive Baltimore, Maryland 21220

GREEN/WQCBCA

BALTIMORE COUNTY, MARYLAND INTER-OFFICE CORRESPONDENCE

TO: Arnold Jablon, Director Zoning Administration and Development Management

DATE: July 20, 1993

FROM: Pat Keller, Deputy Director Office of Planning and Zoning

SUBJECT: Petitions from Zoning Advisory Committee

The Office of Planning and Zoning has no comments on the following petition(s): Item Nos. 4, 8, 14 and 16.

If there should be any further questions or if this office can provide additional information, please contact Jeffrey Long in the Office of Planning at 887-3480.

PK/JT,: 1w

ZAC.4/ZAC1

Permit

TO BE USED AS A SUNROOM.

3. OWNERSHIP

PHIVATELY OWNED 2 PUBLICLY CWNED

	NOTE: A SEPARATE PERMIT IS REQUI WORK WHICH MUST BE DONE BY LICENSED IN BALTIMORE COUR	IRED FOR ALL ELECTRICAL & PLUMBI Y AN ELECTRICIAN OR PLUMBER NTY.
TAK ACCOUNT 18-00-	DOS413 BALTIMORE COUNTY N OFFICE OF THE BUILDING TOWSON, MARYLAND	MARYLAND ENGINEER
	12548 GRACEWOOD DRIVE	DATE ISSUED 7-2-24
OWNER'S NAME	FRED AND BETH DIEHL	66887
MAILING ADDRESS OF OWNER	12548 GRACEWOOD DRIVE, BALTO.	MD. 21220 MR 15 7
MENTIFICATION	NAME ADDRESS CITY	STATE ZIP PHONE NO LICENSE
TENANT		•
BUILDING CONTRACTOR	SECURITY BUILDERS, INC., 6660 SE	CURITY BLVD., MD. 21207
ENGINEER OR ARCHITECT	N/A	
IF UNDER CONTRACT OF SALES GIVE SELLER'S NAME AND ADDRESS		of the state of th
TRANSFER DESCRIPTION	GR W/S GRACEWOOD DR. S. W. CORNER ME	ACE CHARTERS ROAD
A. TYPE OF	IMPROVEMENT C.	TYPE OF USE
1 NEW BUILDING CON 2. ADDITION 3 ALTERATION 4 REPAIR	RESIDENTIAL OF ONE FAMILY D2 TWO FAMILY D3 THREE AND FOUR FAMILY D4 FIVE OR MORE FAMILY (ENTER NO. UNITS. NO UNITS DEDUCTED 05 SWMMING POOL D6 GARAGE D7 OTHER	NON-RESIDENTIAL OB. AMUSEMENT, RECREATION, PLACE OF ASSEMBLY OB. CHURCH, OTHER RELIGIOUS BUILDING: 10 FENCE (LENGTHAM
DESCRIBE PROPOSED W	1 MOCA CODE Harn	FOURSATION 15 OFFICE, BANK, PROFESSIONAL

ESTIMATED COST OF MATERIAL & LABOR 13.000 00 EXISTING USE(S) THIS PERMIT MUST BE POSTED Than # 14

CHECK APPROPRIATE CATEGORIES: FOR RESIDENTIAL ONLY

CONCRETE

17. SCHOOL, COLLEGE, OTHER EDUCATIONAL















